Burns, A. R., Cardwell, T. J. & Cattrall, R. W. (1971). Aust. J. Chem. 24, 661–663.

- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). International Tables for Xray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Tables 2.2A and 2.3.1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Dexheimer, S. L., Gohdes, J. W., Chan, M., Hagen, K. S., Armstrong, W. H. & Klein, M. P. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 8923–8925.
- Folting, K., Cox, M. M., Moore, J. W. & Merritt, J. L. L. (1968). Chem. Commun. pp. 1170-1171.
- Gilmore, C. J. (1983). MITHRIL. Computer Program for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Data. Department of Chemistry, Univ. of Glasgow, Scotland.
- Goher, M. A. S., Youssef, A. A., Zhou, Z.-Y. & Mak, T. C. W. (1993). Polyhedron, 12, 1871–1878.

Marguez, F., Zabala, I. & Romas, F. (1992). J. Lumin. 54, 13-22.

- Molecular Structure Corporation (1985). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1986). CONTROL. An Automation Package for Rigaku AFC Single Crystal Diffractometers. Revised 1988. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Philippa, A., Dennis, F. E. & Quero, S. (1987). Polyhedron, 6, 2003– 2007.
- Silvia, A. R., Paul, S. T. & Donald, T. S. (1989). Inorg. Chem. 28, 2471–2475.
- Uma, L. & Krishnamuirthi, V. S. (1984). Leather Sci. (Madras), 31, 15–16.

CuNO₄ coordination and the alkoxide O atom of each ligand is triply bridging. The Cu—O bond distances within the Cu₄O₄ framework are in the range 1.898 (9)–2.618 (7) Å, whereas the four Cu—N bond lengths range from 1.916 (9) to 1.929 (8) Å.

Comment

Schiff base compounds have found applications in many fields, being particularly excellent candidates for building a novel type of conductive organic material (Hadjoudis, Vittorakis & Moustakali-Mavridis 1987). They have also attracted broad attention because of their ferromagnetic properties (Hines & Theriot, 1991). Although the title complex (I) has been prepared previously and some of its physical properties described, its crystal structure had not been reported (Hines & Theriot, 1991).



Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1980–1982

Tetrameric Copper(II) Complex of 6-Hydroxy-3-methyl-1-phenyl-4-azahexa-3-en-1-one

HOONG-KUN FUN AND BOON-CHUAN YIP

X-ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

BAO-LIN SONG, REN-GEN XIONG AND XIAO-ZENG YOU

Coordination Chemistry Institute, State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Centre for Advanced Studies in Science and Technology of Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China

(Received 16 February 1995; accepted 26 April 1995)

Abstract

The title complex, tetrakis(μ_3 -3-methyl-1-phenyl-4azahexa-1,3-diene-1,6-diolato)tetracopper, [Cu₄(C₁₂H₁₃-NO₂)₄] forms a cubane-like tetramer. Within the Cu₄O₄ framework all four Cu atoms have square-pyramidal

The structure of (I) consists of cubane-like tetramers with four square-pyramidally coordinated Cu atoms and four alkoxide O atoms at the corners of the cube (Fig. 1). It can also be described as containing a folded eight-membered ring in a boat-like conformation with short Cu-O distances [1.898 (9)-1.976 (7) Å] defining the ring, which forms the cubane-like molecule through two pairs of long mutually perpendicular Cu-O interactions [2.391 (7)-2.618 (7) Å]. Compared with β -CuEIA (EIA = 7-hydroxy-4-methyl-5-azahept-4-en-2-one) (Mergehenn, Merz, Haase & Allmann, 1976), which has a similar Cu₄O₄ framework with Cu-O distances of 1.907-2.505 Å, the larger range of Cu-O distances observed in (I) shows that the cubic Cu₄O₄ framework is more distorted. The Cu atoms have distorted square-pyramidal CuNO₄ coordination with one N and two O atoms of the same chelate ligand plus an O atom of another ligand of the tetramer forming the base of the pyramid; an O atom of another ligand occupies the axial position. The Cu-N bond lengths are in the range 1.916 (9)-1.929 (8) Å, and are in good agreement with values reported for comparable bonds in β -CuEIA and copper phthalocyanine (Brown, 1968). Bond lengths in the ligands are unexceptional. C9B has a highly anisotropic displacement tensor which may indicate positional disorder.



Fig. 1. ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) plot of (I) with the numbering scheme, showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids.

Experimental

The title complex was prepared by refluxing benzoylacetone, ethanol and $CuCl_2.2H_2O$ in absolute ethanol for 4 h. Recrystallization was from CHCl₃/MeCN.

Crystal data

$[Cu_4(C_{12}H_{13}NO_2)_4]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 1067.10$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Rhombohedral (hexagonal axes)	Cell parameters from 40 reflections
R3	$\theta = 5 - 12.5^{\circ}$
a = 30.195 (4) Å	$\mu = 1.659 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 14.871 (2) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 11742.1(3) \text{ Å}^3$	Needle
Z = 9	$0.62 \times 0.32 \times 0.20$ mm
$D_x = 1.358 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	Dark green
Data collection	
Siemens P4 four-circle diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0401$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$h = -21 \rightarrow 23$
Absorption correction:	$k = -25 \rightarrow 21$
ψ scan (Sheldrick, 1990)	$l = -15 \rightarrow 15$
$T_{\min} = 0.869, T_{\max} = 1.000$	3 standard reflections monitored every 97
4758 measured reflections	reflections
3979 independent reflections	intensity decay: <4%
3329 observed reflections	
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.86 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.40 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.134$	Extinction correction: none
S = 1.036	Atomic scattering factors
3979 reflections	from International Tables
532 parameters	for Crystallography (1992,
Only H-atom U's refined	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0945P)^2]$	6.1.1.4)
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	Absolute configuration: $\chi =$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$	0.00 (2) (Flack, 1983)

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	х	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
Cul	0.49716 (5)	0.97990 (5)	0.37790 (8)	0.0445 (3)
01 <i>A</i>	0.5460 (3)	1.0351 (3)	0.4537 (4)	0.047 (2)
C2A	0.5506 (5)	1.0163 (5)	0.5379 (7)	0.056 (3)
C3A	0.5508 (5)	0.9683 (5)	0.5230 (7)	0.060 (3)
N4A	0.5112 (4)	0.9374 (4)	0.4552 (5)	0.052 (3)
C5A	0.4927 (5)	0.8892 (6)	0.4486 (8)	0.062 (4)
C6A	0.4581 (5)	0.8608 (5)	0.3777 (8)	0.063 (3)
C7A	0.4421 (5)	0.8780 (5)	0.3076 (7)	0.054 (3)
08A	0.4533 (3)	0.9251 (3)	0.2973 (5)	0.050 (2)
C9A	0.5055 (7)	0.8593 (6)	0.5133 (9)	0.091 (5)
C10A	0.4067 (3)	0.8420 (4)	0.2366 (5)	0.060 (3)
C11A	0.4047 (4)	0.7959 (4)	0.2185 (7)	0.091 (5)
C12A	0.3728 (5)	0.7640 (4)	0.1512 (8)	0.128 (7)
C13A	0.3428 (4)	0.7783 (5)	0.1018 (7)	0.125 (8)
C14A	0.3448 (4)	0.8245 (5)	0.1198 (7)	0.088 (5)
C15A	0.3768 (4)	0.8563 (4)	0.1872 (7)	0.067 (4)
Cu2	0.53195 (6)	1.09196 (6)	0.44157 (8)	0.0490 (4)

 $[Cu_4(C_{12}H_{13}NO_2)_4]$

Cu2---C5A-C3A-C7A--08R-08R-

O1 <i>B</i>	0.5813(3)	1.1175 (3)	0.3429 (5)	0.056 (2)
C2B	0.6064 (6)	1.1714 (5)	0.3459 (9)	0.067 (4)
C3B	0.5668 (6)	1.1870 (6)	0.3577 (9)	0.075 (4)
N4B	0.5323 (4)	1.1553 (4)	0.4294 (7)	0.061 (3)
C5R	0 5003 (5)	1.1673 (5)	0.4702 (9)	0.062 (3)
C6B	0.4681 (6)	1.1362 (5)	0.5420 (8)	0.070 (4)
C7R	0 4608 (6)	1.0905 (5)	0.5705 (8)	0.059 (3)
088	0.4845(4)	1.0677 (4)	0.5382 (6)	0.069 (3)
COR	0.4985 (7)	1.2138 (6)	0.4472 (13)	0.118 (7)
CIOR	0.4258 (3)	1.0629 (3)	0.6482 (4)	0.058 (3)
CIUR	0.3907 (4)	1 0763 (4)	0.6792 (6)	0.080 (4)
CI2R	0.3601 (4)	1 0513 (5)	0.7530(6)	0.100 (6)
CI2D	0.3646 (4)	1 0129 (4)	0.7959 (5)	0.089 (5)
CIAR	0.3007 (4)	0.9994 (3)	0 7649 (6)	0.096 (5)
C140	0.3337(4)	1 0244 (4)	0.6910 (6)	0.074(4)
C13B	0.4303 (4)	1.0244(4) 1.07482(5)	0.34385 (8)	0.0430 (3)
	0.01310(3)	1.07402 (3)	0.2611 (4)	0.043(2)
CIC	0.3393 (3)	1.0046 (5)	0.2011(4)	0.050 (3)
C2C	0.5708(5)	0.0004 (5)	0.2594 (7)	0.048 (3)
NAC	0.0090(4)	1 0309 (3)	0.3188 (5)	0.035 (2)
N40	0.6901 (4)	1.0330 (4)	0.3585 (6)	0.035(2)
	0.0001(4)	1.0330 (4)	0.3383 (0)	0.038(2)
	0.7104 (4)	1.1100 (4)	0.4221 (0)	0.030(2)
	0.7023(4)	1,1100 (4)	0.4329(0)	0.057(3)
080	0.0000 (3)	0.0021(4)	0.4238(3)	0.032(2)
C9C	0.0934 (4)	1 1422 (2)	0.5405(7)	0.046 (3)
	0.7342 (3)	1.1455 (5)	0.5299 (4)	0.040(3)
	0.7818(3)	1.1494 (3)	0.5369 (5)	0.071(4)
CI2C	0.8078 (3)	1.1/03 (4)	0.0208 (0)	0.090 (5)
CISC	0.7802 (4)	1.19/0 (3)	0.0623(3)	0.090(5)
CI4C	0.7380 (4)	1.1915(5)	0.0019(3)	0.077(3)
CISC	0.7120(3)	1.1044 (3)	0.3830(3)	0.003(4)
Cu4	0.51000(5)	1.05732(3)	0.22107(7)	0.0440(3)
01D	0.4/84 (3)	1.0299 (3)	0.3327 (4)	0.040(2)
C2D	0.4290 (5)	1.0230 (5)	0.3233(7)	0.050(3)
C3D	0.4341 (5)	1.0689 (5)	0.2766 (8)	0.056 (3)
N4D	0.4677 (3)	1.0787 (3)	0.1978 (5)	0.044 (2)
C5D	0.4671 (5)	1.1039 (5)	0.1268 (8)	0.056 (3)
C6D	0.4972 (5)	1.1116 (5)	0.0519 (9)	0.063 (4)
C7D	0.5350 (5)	1.0972 (5)	0.0417 (8)	0.055 (3)
08D	0.5487 (3)	1.0774 (3)	0.1066 (4)	0.056 (2)
C9D	0.4315 (6)	1.1263 (6)	0.1238 (10)	0.088 (5)
C10D	0.5606 (3)	1.1026 (4)	-0.0461 (4)	0.055 (3)
C11D	0.5349 (3)	1.0977 (4)	-0.1264 (5)	0.083 (5)
C12D	0.5596 (4)	1.1033 (4)	-0.2080 (4)	0.084 (5)
C13D	0.6100 (4)	1.1138 (4)	-0.2095 (4)	0.073 (4)
C14D	0.6357 (3)	1.1186 (4)	-0.1292 (5)	0.076 (4)
C15D	0.6110 (3)	1 1130 (4)	-0.0476(4)	0.070 (4)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1···Cu2	3.146 (2)	Cu2	1.898 (9)
Cu1···Cu3	3.271 (2)	Cu2—N4B	1.916 (10)
Cu1···Cu4	3.143 (2)	Cu2	1.954 (7)
Cu2···Cu3	3.108 (3)	Cu2O1D	2.391 (7)
Cu2···Cu4	3.402 (2)	O1BCu3	1.957 (8)
Cu3···Cu4	3.252 (2)	O1B-Cu4	2.618 (7)
Cu1—N4A	1.921 (9)	Cu3-08C	1.901 (8)
Cu1-08A	1.933 (8)	Cu3—N4C	1.929 (8)
Cu1-01A	1.940 (8)	Cu3-01C	1.946 (7)
Cu1-01D	1.976 (7)	Cu4	1.902 (7)
Cu1-01C	2.447 (6)	Cu4—N4D	1.916 (9)
O1A-Cu2	1.971 (7)	Cu401D	1.953 (7)
01A—Cu3	2.406 (7)		
N4A—Cu1—O8A	94.8 (4)	08C-Cu3-N4C	94.6 (3)
N4A-Cu1-01A	84.3 (4)	08C—Cu3—01C	178.9 (3)
N4A-Cu1-01C	118.7 (3)	N4C-Cu3-01C	84.3 (3)
08A-Cu1-01A	175.2 (3)	O8C-Cu3-O1B	94.7 (3)
N4A-Cu1-01D	163.1 (3)	N4C-Cu3-01B	168.2 (3)
08A—Cu1—O1D	96.2 (3)	01C—Cu3—01B	86.4 (3)
01A-Cu1-01D	85.8 (3)	08C—Cu3—01A	97.6 (3)
01A-Cu1-01C	82.1 (3)	N4C—Cu3—O1A	109.7 (3)
01C-Cu1-08A	94.3 (3)	01C-Cu3-01A	83.1 (3)
01C-Cu1-01D	73.1 (3)	O1B—Cu3—O1A	76.3 (3)
C2A-O1A-Cu1	110.8 (7)	C2CO1CCu4	123.4 (6)
C2A-01A-Cu2	122.6 (6)	C2CO1CCu3	110.5 (6)
Cu1	107.1 (3)	Cu4O1CCu3	113.7 (4)
C2ACu3	125.1 (7)	C5C-N4C-Cu3	125.4 (7)
Cu1-O1A-Cu3	97.1 (3)	C3C—N4C—Cu3	111.6 (6)

89.9 (3)	C7CO8CCu3	125.6 (6)
126.8 (9)	O8D-Cu4-N4D	95.0 (3)
111.4 (8)	08D-Cu4-01C	94.0 (3)
123.9 (8)	N4D-Cu4-01C	170.8 (3)
94.7 (4)	08D-Cu4-01D	173.9 (3)
179.4 (5)	N4D-Cu4-01D	84.6 (3)
85.0 (4)	01CCu401D	86.3 (3)
92.5 (3)	N4D-Cu4-01B	108.9 (3)
169.0 (4)	01C-Cu4-01B	70.0 (3)
87.6 (3)	01B-Cu4-01D	77.5 (3)
97.2 (3)	O1B-Cu4-08D	108.3 (3)
112.5 (4)	C2D-01D-Cu4	109.6 (6)
83.4 (3)	C2D-01D-Cu1	127.5 (7)
74.6 (3)	Cu4O1DCu1	106.2 (3)
107.2 (7)	C2D-01D-Cu2	115.8 (6)
127.0 (9)	Cu4O1DCu2	102.6 (3)
105.3 (4)	Cu1Cu2	91.7 (3)
125.8 (10)	C5DN4DCu4	125.4 (8)
111.5 (7)	C3DN4DCu4	110.9 (6)
125.5 (9)	C7DO8DCu4	125.4 (7)
	$\begin{array}{c} 89.9 (3) \\ 126.8 (9) \\ 111.4 (8) \\ 123.9 (8) \\ 94.7 (4) \\ 179.4 (5) \\ 85.0 (4) \\ 92.5 (3) \\ 169.0 (4) \\ 87.6 (3) \\ 97.2 (3) \\ 112.5 (4) \\ 83.4 (3) \\ 74.6 (3) \\ 107.2 (7) \\ 127.0 (9) \\ 105.3 (4) \\ 125.8 (10) \\ 111.5 (7) \\ 125.5 (9) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

The structure was solved by direct methods and was completed by locating the missing atoms from successive Fourier maps. The phenyl rings of the ligands were constrained to be regular hexagons with C-C distances of 1.39 Å. All the H atoms were generated geometrically, allowed to ride on the atoms to which they are attached, and refined isotropically.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1994). Cell refinement: XSCANS. Data reduction: XSCANS. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC (Sheldrick, 1990). Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

The authors would like to thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for research grant R&D No. 123-3417-2201, and the State Science and Technology Commission and National Nature Science Foundation of China, as well as the State Key Laboratory of Tribology of Tsinghua University, for a research grant for this Key Research Project.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1182). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Brown, C. J. (1968). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 2488-2494.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Hadjoudis, E., Vittorakis, M. & Moustakali-Mavridis, I. (1987). Tetrahedron, 43, 1345-1360.
- Hines, K. & Theriot, L. T. (1991). Synth. React. Inorg. Met. Org. Chem. 21, 89-97.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Mergehenn, R., Merz, L., Haase, W. & Allmann, R. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 505-510.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC User's Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS User's Manual. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.